ma the ma tisch

cen trum

AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE

ZN 61/75

AUGUST

J. VAN DE LUNE
A NOTE ON EULER'S (INCOMPLETE) I-FUNCTION

amsterdam

1975

stichting mathematisch centrum



AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE

ZN 61/75 AUGUST

J. VAN DE LUNE A NOTE ON EULER'S (INCOMPLETE) Γ -FUNCTION Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O), by the Municipality of Amsterdam, by the University of Amsterdam, by the Free University at Amsterdam, and by industries.

AMS(MOS) subject classification scheme (1970): 33A15

A note on Euler's (incomplete) Γ -function

bу

J. van de Lune

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: Gamma function, Laplace transform.

1. The subject of this section of this note was inspired by the following observation

Let

(1)
$$f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \cos(t\lambda_n), (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

where all a's and λ 's are real.

Clearly f(t) is bounded and continuous so that we may consider the (one-sided) Laplace transform f of f for s>0:

(2)
$$\int_{0}^{V} f(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} f(t) dt =$$

$$= \int_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} \cos(t\lambda_{n}) dt =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} (e^{it\lambda_{n}} + e^{-it\lambda_{n}}) dt =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{s - i\lambda_{n}} + \frac{1}{s + i\lambda_{n}} \right\}.$$

Differentiating k times with respect to s we obtain

(3)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} t^{k} f(t) dt = \frac{k!}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{(s-i\lambda_{n})^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{(s+i\lambda_{n})^{k+1}} \right\} ,$$

or, equivalently

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{k!} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{k} f(\frac{u}{s}) du = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{i\lambda_{n}}{s}\right)^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{i\lambda_{n}}{s}\right)^{k+1}} \right\}$$

Substituting $s = \frac{k}{t}$, (t>0) in (4) and letting k tend to infinity we arrive at

(5)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}u^{k}}{k!} f\left(\frac{tu}{k}\right) du =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \left(e^{it\lambda_n} + e^{-it\lambda_n} \right) = f(t).$$

The main purpose of this section is to prove the validity of (5), or rather a generalization of it, for quite a large class of functions $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$. In the meanwhile we will obtain some interesting results concerning Euler's (incomplete) Γ -function.

We start with stating the following:

THEOREM. Let $f: R^+ \rightarrow C$ be such that

- (i) f is integrable over every interval of the form (0,T) where T>0,
- (ii) for some $t_0 > 0$ we have that the limits

(6)
$$\lim_{x \uparrow t_0} f(x) = L \text{ and } \lim_{x \downarrow t_0} f(x) = R$$

both exist and are finite,

(iii) there exists a real constant A such that

(7)
$$f(x) = 0(e^{Ax}), (x\rightarrow \infty).$$

Under these conditions we have

(8)
$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} f(\frac{t_{0}u}{s}) du = \frac{L+R}{2}.$$

Before proving this theorem we will prove some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If $0 < \alpha < 1$ then

(9)
$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \int_{0}^{\alpha s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} du = 0.$$

<u>PROOF.</u> For any fixed s > 0 the function $e^{-u}u^s$, $(u \in R^+)$, is increasing on the interval (0,s) so that

(10)
$$0 < \int_{0}^{\alpha s} \frac{e^{-u} s}{\Gamma(s+1)} du < \alpha s \frac{e^{-\alpha s} (\alpha s)^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} =$$

$$= \alpha s \frac{e^{-\alpha s} \alpha^{s} s^{s}}{s^{s} e^{-s} \sqrt{2\pi s'} e^{\mu(s)}} =$$

$$= \alpha \sqrt{s} \frac{(\alpha e^{1-\alpha})^{s}}{\sqrt{2\pi} e^{\mu(s)}}$$

where $\mu(s)$ is Binet's function which may be represented by

(11)
$$\mu(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-st}}{t} \left\{ \frac{1}{e^{t}-1} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} dt, (s>0).$$

(See, for example, G. SANSONE & J. GERRETSEN, Lectures on the theory of functions of a complex variable, Noordhoff, Groningen, (1960) p. 216.)

Since

(12)
$$0 < \alpha e^{1-\alpha} < 1, (0<\alpha<1)$$

and

(13)
$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \mu(s) = 0$$

the lemma follows easily. \square

LEMMA 2. If $\beta > 1$ then

(14)
$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \int_{\beta s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} du = 0$$

PROOF. Observe that for s > 0 we have

(15)
$$0 < \int_{\beta s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} du = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x-\beta s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} (x+\beta s)^{s} dx =$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\beta s} \beta^{s} s^{s}}{s^{s} e^{-s} \sqrt{2\pi s} e^{\mu(s)}} \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} (1+\frac{x}{\beta s})^{s} dx =$$

$$= \frac{(\beta e^{1-\beta})^{s}}{\sqrt{2\pi s} e^{\mu(s)}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} (1+\frac{x}{\beta s})^{s} dx <$$

$$< \frac{(\beta e^{1-\beta})^{s}}{\sqrt{2\pi s} e^{\mu(s)}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} e^{\frac{x}{\beta}} dx = \frac{(\beta e^{1-\beta})^{s}}{\sqrt{2\pi s} e^{\mu(s)}} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

Since for $\beta > 1$ we also have

(16)
$$0 < \beta e^{1-\beta} < 1$$

the lemma follows easily. \square

LEMMA 3. The function

(17)
$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_{0}^{s} e^{-u} u^{s} du, \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}^{+})$$

tends (increasingly) to $\frac{1}{2}$ when $s \to \infty$

PROOF. By the substitution $u = s - x \sqrt{s}$ we obtain

(18)
$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_{0}^{s} e^{-u} u^{s} du = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{s}} e^{-s+x\sqrt{s}} (s-x\sqrt{s})^{s} \sqrt{s} dx =$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\mu(s)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{s}} \exp\left\{x \sqrt{s} + s \log(1 - \frac{x}{\sqrt{s}})\right\} dx =$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\mu(s)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{s}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^{2}}{2} - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n} \frac{1}{\frac{n}{2} - 1}\right\} dx =$$

Now observe that

(19)
$$\frac{1}{e^{t}-1} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} > 0, \quad (t>0)$$

so that, using (11), it follows that $\mu(s)$ is decreasing on R^+ . Consequently $e^{-\mu(s)}$ is increasing on R^+ .

Also note that

(20)
$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n} \frac{1}{\frac{n}{2} - 1}, (0 < x < \sqrt{s}; s > 0)$$

is decreasing in s for any fixed x subject to the conditions stated in (20).

Consequently we have established the "increasing part" of the lemma.

Using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem it also follows from (18)

that

(21)
$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_{0}^{s} e^{-u} u^{s} du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} dx = \frac{1}{2},$$

completing the proof of the lemma. [

LEMMA 4. The function

(22)
$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_{s}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{s} du, (s \in \mathbb{R}^{+})$$

tends (decreasingly) to $\frac{1}{2}$ when $s \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Since

(23)
$$\int_{0}^{s} e^{-u} u^{s} du + \int_{s}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{s} du = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{s} du = \Gamma(s+1)$$

the lemma is a direct consequence of lemma 3. \square

(24)
$$0 < \delta < t_0$$

(25)
$$|f(x) - L| < \epsilon, (t_0^{-\delta < x < t_0})$$

and

(26)
$$|f(x) - R| < \varepsilon, (t_0 < x < t_0 + \delta).$$

Write

(27)
$$\alpha = \frac{t_0 - \delta}{t_0} \text{ and } \beta = \frac{t_0 + \delta}{t_0}$$

so that $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 1$.

Also write

(28)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_{0}u}{s}\right) du = \left\{\int_{0}^{\alpha s} + \int_{\alpha s}^{s} + \int_{s}^{\beta s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_{0}u}{s}\right) du\right\}$$

Step 1. We first show that

(29)
$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \int_{0}^{\alpha s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_{0}u}{s}\right) du = 0.$$

In order to see this we observe that

$$(30) \qquad \left| \int_{0}^{\alpha s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_{0}u}{s}\right) du \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{e^{-\alpha s}(\alpha s)^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_{0}^{\alpha s} \left| f\left(\frac{t_{0}u}{s}\right) \right| du =$$

$$= \frac{(\alpha e^{1-\alpha})^{s}}{\sqrt{2\pi s} e^{\mu(s)}} \frac{s}{t_{0}} \int_{0}^{\alpha t_{0}} \left| f(x) \right| dx$$

so that (29) follows easily.

Step 2. If $\alpha s < u < s$ then

(31)
$$t_0 - \delta < \frac{t_0^u}{s} < t_0$$

so that

(32)
$$\left| f \left(\frac{t_0^u}{s} \right) - L \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence

(33)
$$\left| \int_{\alpha s}^{s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_{0}u}{s}\right) du - \frac{1}{2}L \right| =$$

$$= \left| \int_{\alpha s}^{s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} \left\{ f\left(\frac{t_{0}u}{s}\right) - L \right\} du + L \int_{\alpha s}^{s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} du - \frac{1}{2} L \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \int_{\alpha s}^{s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} du + \left| L \right| \left| \int_{0}^{s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} du - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| L \right| \int_{0}^{\alpha s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} du,$$

from which it is clear that

(34)
$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \sup \Big| \int_{0.5}^{s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_{0}u}{s}\right) du - \frac{1}{2} L \Big| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Step 3. Similarly as in step 2 one may show that

(35)
$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \sup \left| \int_{s}^{\beta s} \frac{e^{-u}u^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_{0}u}{s}\right) du - \frac{1}{2} R \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Step 4. We have

(36)
$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \int_{\beta s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}u^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_0u}{s}\right) du = 0.$$

In order to see this we proceed as follows: Determine the constants K_{\bullet} A and x_{\bigcap} such that

(37)
$$x_0 > \beta t_0$$

(38)
$$x_0 > 2 t_0$$

and

(39)
$$|f(x)| \leq K e^{Ax}, (x>x_0).$$

Since
$$\frac{x_0}{t_0} \le \frac{e^{-u}u^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_0u}{s}\right) du \le \frac{e^{-\beta s}(\beta s)^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} \frac{s}{t_0} \int_{\beta t_0}^{x_0} |f(x)| dx$$

it follows as before that

(41)
$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{\beta s}^{\frac{x_0}{t_0} s} \frac{e^{-u} u^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_0 u}{s}\right) du = 0.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that

(42)
$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{\frac{x_0}{t_0}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}u^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_0u}{s}\right) du = 0.$$

If
$$u > \frac{x_0}{t_0}$$
 s then $\frac{t_0^u}{s} > x_0$ so that, if in addition $s \ge 2At_0$, we have

(43)
$$\left| \int_{-\frac{x_0}{t_0}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}u^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} f\left(\frac{t_0^u}{s}\right) du \right| \leq$$

$$\leq K \int_{\frac{x_0}{t_0}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}u^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} e^{A} \frac{t_0^u}{s} du =$$

$$= \frac{K}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u\left(1 - \frac{At_0}{s}\right)} u^{s} du =$$

$$\frac{x_0}{t_0} s$$

$$= \frac{K}{\Gamma(s+1)} \int_{\frac{x_0 s}{t_0}}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{At_0}{s}\right)^s \frac{dx}{1 - \frac{At_0}{s}} \le \frac{1}{1 - \frac{At_0}{s}}$$

$$\leq \frac{K}{\left(1 - \frac{At_0}{s}\right)^{s+1}} \int_{\frac{x_0^s}{2t_0}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x}x^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} dx .$$

Since $\frac{x_0}{2t_0} > 1$ by (38) it follows from lemma 2 that (42) holds true, completing the proof of the theorem. \Box

REMARK. From lemma 4 one may derive very simply that the sequence

tends decreasingly to its limit $(=\frac{1}{2})$.

In order to see this we note that for any non negative integer n we have

(45)
$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(n+1)} \int_{n}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{n} du = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(n+x)} (n+x)^{n} dx =$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-n-x} \int_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} n^{k} x^{n-k} dx =$$

$$= \frac{e^{-n}}{n!} \int_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{k! (n-k)!} n^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{n-k} dx =$$

$$= e^{-n} \int_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n^{k}}{k!},$$

so that our assertion follows from lemma 4.

2. The subject of this section of this note was inspired by the following observation.

For any positive integer n one has

(46)
$$\log \left(\frac{n}{n!}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{n}{1} \frac{n}{2} \frac{n}{3} \dots \frac{n}{n}\right) =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{n} \frac{2}{n} \frac{3}{n} \dots \frac{n}{n}\right) =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log \frac{n-k}{n} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{m} =$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{m}\right\} =$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} L_{n}(m),$$

where $L_n(m)$ denotes the n-th canonical lower Riemann sum corresponding to the function x^m , $0 \le x \le 1$.

It may be shown (see the author's Mathematical Centre Report ZW 39/75) that for any fixed m > 0, $L_n(m)$ is increasing in n. From this fact and (46) it then follows that the sequence

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{n}{n!}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is increasing.

(48)
$$f(s) = \left\{ \frac{s^{s}}{\Gamma(s+1)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{s}}, (s>0)$$

is increasing on R⁺.

PROOF. For s > 0 we have

(49)
$$\Gamma(s+1) = s^{s} e^{-s} \sqrt{2\pi s} e^{\mu(s)}$$

where $\mu(s)$ may be written as in (11). Since f(s) > 0 for s > 0 we may just as well prove that log f(s) is increasing on \mathbb{R}^+ .

Observing that

(50)
$$\log f(s) = 1 - \frac{\mu(s) + \frac{1}{2} \log (2\pi s)}{s}, (s>0)$$

it is clearly sufficient to show that

(51)
$$\frac{d}{ds} \frac{\mu(s) + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi s)}{s} < 0, (s>0).$$

First we compute the derivative in (51):

(52)
$$\frac{d}{ds} \frac{\mu(s) + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi s)}{s} = \frac{s\{\mu'(s) + \frac{1}{2s}\} - \{\mu(s) + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi s)\}}{s^2}.$$

Writing

(53)
$$\phi(s) = s\{\mu'(s) + \frac{1}{2s}\} - \{\mu(s) + \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi s)\}, (s>0)$$

we will prove that

(54)
$$\phi(s) < 0$$
, $(s>0)$.

We first prove that

(55)
$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \phi(s) = 0.$$

In order to see this we first note that

(56)
$$e^{\mu(s)} \sqrt{2\pi s} = \frac{e^{s} \Gamma(s+1)}{s^{s}}$$

so that

(57)
$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \{\mu(s) + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi s)\} = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \log \frac{e^{s} \Gamma(s+1)}{s} = \log 1 = 0.$$

Next we observe that from the integral representation of $\mu(\textbf{s})$ it follows that

(58)
$$\mu'(s) = -\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} \{ \frac{1}{e^{t}-1} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \} dt =$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2s} + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} \{ \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{e^{t}-1} \} dt$$

so that

(59)
$$\mu'(s) + \frac{1}{2s} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} \left\{ \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{e^{t} - 1} \right\} dt.$$

Since

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{e^{t}} \right\} = 0$$

it follows from the general theory of Laplace transforms that

(60)
$$\lim_{s \neq 0} s\{\mu^{\dagger}(s) + \frac{1}{2s}\} = 0.$$

Combining (57) and (60) it follows that (55) holds.

In view of (55) we may prove (54) by showing that

(61)
$$\phi^{\dagger}(s) < 0$$
, $(s>0)$.

In order to see this we note that

(62)
$$\phi'(s) = (\mu'(s) + \frac{1}{2s}) + s \frac{d}{ds}(\mu'(s) + \frac{1}{2s}) - (\mu'(s) + \frac{1}{2s}) =$$

$$= s \frac{d}{ds}(\mu''(s) + \frac{1}{2s})$$

so that, using (59),

(63)
$$\phi'(s) = -s \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} \{1 - \frac{t}{e^{t}-1}\} dt, (s>0).$$

Since

$$1 - \frac{t}{e^{t} - 1} > 0$$
, (t>0)

it follows from (63) that (61) holds true, completing the proof. REMARK. From (46) and the fact that $L_n(m)$ tends increasingly to $\int_0^1 x^m dx = \frac{1}{m+1}$ we may obtain a very transparent alternative proof of the well-known fact that

(64)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{n}{n!}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = e.$$

Indeed

(65)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{n}{n!}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \exp\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} L_n(m)\right\} =$$

(by uniform convergence in n of the series involved)

=
$$\exp\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} (\lim_{n \to \infty} L_n(m))\} =$$

= $\exp\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(m+1)}\} = \exp(1) = e.$